DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

racinty Name:	Roppers mauries
Facility Address:	465 West 56 th Avenue, Denver, CO 80216
Facility EPA ID	#: COD007077175
groundwa (SWMU), <u>X</u> I	ailable relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the ter media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination? f yes - check here and continue with #2 below. f no - re-evaluate existing data, or f data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Easility Names

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Vannana Indutniaa

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be **contaminated**¹ above appropriately protective

Page 2

"levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

s," and
' and
,

Rationale and Reference(s):

Groundwater contamination at the site has been documented in various phases of the RCRA Facility Investigation conducted throughout the 1990's. The groundwater contaminants of concern include penta and PAHs related to historic wood treating operations at the site. The dissolved phase groundwater plume consists primarily of penta contamination, with elevated concentrations of PAHs generally occurring only in the vicinity of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs). Detectable concentrations of dioxins have not been observed in the dissolved phase plume, but are assumed to be a component of the NAPL. The groundwater standards for the site are the Colorado Basic Groundwater Standards: 1.0 ug/l penta, and compound specific concentrations for PAHs ranging from 0.0048 ug/l to 28 ug/l.

The site was previously the subject of EPA Order No. RCRA (3008)-VIII-88-03, State Compliance Order No. 98-06-25-01, and State RCRA Permit No. CO-99-03-05-01. After approval of the Corrective Measures Study Report, the RCRA Permit was modified to incorporate all corrective action requirements. Both the EPA and State orders were subsequently terminated.

Footnotes:

¹Contamination and contaminated describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate levels (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

\mathbf{X}	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater
	sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated
	groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the
	"existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the
	designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to
	#8 and enter NO status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

As previously stated, groundwater contaminants of concern at the site include penta and PAHs. The dissolved phase groundwater plume consists primarily of penta contamination, with elevated concentrations of PAHs generally occurring only in the vicinity of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs). Detectable concentrations of dioxins have not been observed in the dissolved phase plume, but are assumed to be a component of the NAPL. The groundwater standards for the site are the Colorado Basic Groundwater Standards: 1.0 ug/l penta, and compound specific concentrations for PAHs ranging from 0.0048 ug/l to 28 ug/l.

Installation of the groundwater remedy is complete, and remediation is ongoing. The groundwater remedy includes a subsurface barrier wall, onsite insitu biological treatment, NAPL recovery, insitu chemical oxidation and monitored natural attenuation. The results of ongoing groundwater monitoring and natural attenuation monitoring indicate that the onsite source area has been isolated, and the downgradient contaminant plume has not only stabilized, but is slowly decreasing in extent and concentration.

Two deep groundwater monitoring wells were installed, at the base of the Denver formation, in May and June 2005 to verify that the vertical extent of contamination did not extent to the Arapahoe formation. No contamination has been detected in these deep wells, indicating that the vertical extent of the plume is adequately defined by the existing monitoring wells within the Denver formation.

Groundwater monitoring results are documented in numerous semi-annual and annual reports required by State RCRA Permit No. CO-99-03-05-01, and previously required by State Compliance Order No. 98-06-25-01.

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does contamina	ated groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
		If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	<u>X</u>	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	_	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Ration	ale and Reference	(s):

5.	maximum conce appropriate grou discharging cont	of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " insignificant " (i.e., the ntration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their ndwater "level" and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of aminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for pacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
•		If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgment/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Page 6

(in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considere in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well a any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination. If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.	2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as
in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well a any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination. If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.	in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as
acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.	surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory
If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.	 If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

7. Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

<u>X</u>	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
Rational	le and Reference(s):
	CRA Permit No. CO-99-03-05-01 requires semi-annual monitoring of the contaminant plume, g upgradient, source area, and downgradient wells.

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

Page 8

<u>X</u>	verified. Bas determination Groundwater facility, EPA <u>Colorado Spr</u> migration of will be condu "existing area evaluated wh	Migration of Contaminated Groundwate ed on a review of the information contain, it has been determined that the "Migratis "Under Control" at the Eagle-Picher ID # COD048126726 located at 3820 Stings, Colorado. Specifically, this determinated groundwater is under content to confirm that contaminated groundwater." This deen the Agency becomes aware of significant eptable migration of contaminated groundwater.	aned in this tion of Co Technolo outh Hance nination in introl, and adwater restermination cant change andwater is	s EI ontaminated ogies, LLC cock Expresswa ndicates that the that monitoring mains within th on will be re- ges at the facilit	<u>y.</u> g e
ompleted by	(signature)		Date		7
1 ,	(print)	Colleen Brisnehan			
	(title)	Environmental Protection Specialist			
					_
pervisor	(signature)		Date		
	(print)	Walter Avramenko			
	(title)	Corrective Action Unit Leader			
	(EPA Region	n or State) Colorado			

Locations where References may be found:

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Records Center 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, Colorado 80246-1530 303-692-3331

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Colleen Brisnehan
(phone #)	303-692-3357
(e-mail)	colleen.brisnehan@state.co.us